REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved

OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other assect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden. to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22024-302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arrington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Bull	aget, raperwork headethours.	3,000,000	
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) 2. REPORT DATE 23 July 1995	3. REPORT TYPE AN	ID DATES COVER	RED
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Military Readiness and Patient Care Medical Forces: Summary Report on Prospective "Benchmarking" Study 6. AUTHOR(S) Paul T. Bartone, Thomas W. Britt, Amy B. Adler	MEPRS	5. FUNDING N	UMBERS
& Evelyn H. Golembe	,	·	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMIN REPORT NU	G ORGANIZATION MBER
US Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Unit 29218 APO AE 09102		WYPAII	R/TR-95- 0.033
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. FONSORIN	IG/MONITORING PORT NUMBER
US Army Medical Research & Materiel Command Ft. Detrick, Frederick, MD 21702-5012	,	Se	LECTE 10 0 5 1995
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			F
		12b. DISTRIBUT	TON CODE
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		120. 013181801	TON CODE
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.			
	_ 1995	1201	010 _
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
At the request of the U.S. Army, Europe Chief S study was undertaken by the U.S. Army Medical how uniformed medical personnel in USAREUR (and sometimes competing) functional priorities: information that follows is the briefing package p July 1995. Data were collected from 161 medica personnel were asked questions on amount of tindeployments, average hours per week spent on p to provide patient care. The study distinguishes namely "BMEs" or borrowed military medical permilitary hospital or clinic. The briefing package Telephone Survey.	Research Unit-Eu are spreading the (1) patient care, presented to the Unit personnel using the spent on readinatient care and he between two groups of the control and "Non-	ir work time a and (2) readir SAREUR Che a telephone sees training row this time in the imps of medical BMEs" who mple Medical Y INSPECTEL	mine, on average, across two critical ness training. The ief Surgeon on 23 urvey. Medical equirements and inpacts on ability are assigned to a Readiness
14. SUBJECT TERMS		15. N	UMBER OF PAGES
readiness; patient care; USAREUR, medical, ME (Medical Expense and Performance Reporting S	EPRS ystem)	16. P	RICE CODE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

UNCLAS

OF REPORT

17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT

UNCLAS

Military Readiness and Patient Care in USAREUR Medical Forces: Summary Report on Prospective MEPRS "Benchmarking" Study


B

P. T. Bartone, T. W. Britt, A. B. Adler, & E. H. Golembe

U.S. Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Unit 29218 APO AE 09102 For the USAREUR Chief Surgeon's Office, Unit 29218, APO AE 09102

23 July 1995

Accesion For	on For	
NTIS	CRA&I TAB	ם פל
Unann	Unannounced	
Justification	ation	
By		
Distribution /	ution /	
A	Availability Codes	y Codes
Dist	Avail and or Special	ind / or cial
ς		
1-4		



Acknowledgment

This draft report is the result of the combined efforts of the following U.S. Army Medical Research Unit-Europe staff:

Paul T. Bartone Thomas W. Britt Amy B. Adler Evelyn H. Golembe David J. Schlafer Matthew C. Gilliard

Kathy L. Deboskie Teresa K. Spohn Carrie A. Ruppar Deanna M. Peace Kevin S. Uyeno

Military Readiness and Patient Care in USAREUR Medical Forces:

Summary Report on Prospective MEPRS "Benchmarking" Study *

1. INTRODUCTION

necessary to maintain both becomes intolerably high. Excessive requirements in one area important for leaders to be able to assess how health care providers are actually spending sometimes competing responsibilities. On the one hand, they must train and prepare to Army medical personnel in Europe must strike an appropriate balance between two provide medical care to soldiers under field conditions, including combat. On the other These responsibilities come into conflict when the demands of one activity prevent an hand, they are required to maintain their medical skills, and to assist with the Army's peacetime health care mission by working in Army community hospitals and clinics. burnout, and premature attrition. In order to prevent or reduce such problems, it is individual from properly attending to the other, or when the number of work hours MEDDAC & clinics, low morale, increased health problems for the care provider, or the other can lead to reduced readiness, lowered access to medical care in the their time, and determine whether an optimal balance exists.

Department of the Army or the Department of Defense (PARA 4-3, AR 360-5). The views of the authors do not necessarily reflect the position of the

medical units. In such an environment, the MEPRS system can only yield an incomplete medical personnel utilization. Also, as it is tailored for fixed facilities, MEPRS does not monthly data on personnel utilization in DOD medical fixed facilities. Due to a variety of reporting difficulties, the MEPRS database does not always provide accurate data on capture medical personnel utilization in field, or TO&E medical units. This presents a serious problem for medical leaders in an environment like USAREUR, where many military medical care providers share their time between fixed facilities and TO&E The Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System (MEPRS) tabulates answer to the question of overall medical personnel utilization.

their work time across two critical (and sometimes competing) functional priorities: (1) determine, on average, how uniformed medical personnel in USAREUR are spreading Due to the inadequacies of the MEPRS system to address the critical question of medical personnel utilization, a prospective "benchmark" study was undertaken to patient care, and (2) readiness training.

Military Readiness and Patient Care in **USAREUR Medical Forces**

Prospective "Benchmark" Study: June-July 1995

US Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Heidelberg, Germany

Major Paul T. Bartone, Ph.D.

Commander

7 July, 1995

Revised: 23 July 1995

PURPOSE

- Follow up on "Quick Look" Study, using data from non-MEPRS sources
- care personnel are spending their work time Obtain current data on how Army medical
- Identify % of medical care provider time spent on readiness activities
- Special focus on medical care providers assigned to TO&E units

PROCEDURES


- Short, telephone survey
- Focus on key providers: Doctors, Dentists, Nurses
- Compare BME personnel with Assigned
- Attempt to get data from ALL HD MEDDAC/DENTAC BMEs (N=47)
- Random sample of Assigned (Non-BME)
- Reference point is "typical month" & "typical week"

RESULTS

- 41 (of 47) BMEs included in survey (87%)
- 120 (of 165) Assigned (73%)
- Overall response N=161
- Excellent cross-section of medica professionals
- BMEs significantly higher than Assigned on overall readiness time: 30% versus 12%


Readiness Training Requirements Percent Time Spent on USAREUR

(e.g., PT, weapons qualification, NBC)




p<.01

Percent Time Spent Preparing for Deployments/Exercises




BME STATUS

on Training & Readiness Activities **Total Percent Time Spent**




p<.01


Average Hours per Month Spent on Overall Readiness Training




Average Hours per Week Spent on Patient Care




Impacts Ability to Provide Patient Care % Agree: Time Spent on Readiness






Patient Care: Impact on Career Decision Difficulty of Balancing Readiness vs.



Attitudes toward Readiness Training



	長祭	BME			Non-BME	
	212th and other	d other (e.g.	(e.g. 30th MED)		Assigned	
			\ 		DOUBER OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	
	Population	Target	Actual	Population	Target	Actual
Physicians	-	11	6	87	30	CV
					2	74
Dentists/Vets	6	σ	C	3		
			D	0	30	39
Nurses	27	27	23	C		
			21	9	40	39
Totals	47	47	17	101		
				COI	100	120

TABLE 1: Sample(s) and sampling strategy

BME = Borrowed Military, External

Non-BME = Assigned to the HD MEDDAC/DENTAC

Population: Total number of military personnel of a given category (e.g., BME Physicians)

Target: Number of a given category targetted for telephone survey

Actual: Number of respondents in a given category who answered telephone survey

Medical Readiness Telephone Survey

Hello, may I speak to Phone I	Number: <u>371-2998/2626</u>
Hello (sir or ma'am), I am from the US Army Medical Researd Chief Surgeon to conduct a brief, 2-minute phone survey to det their time. Your responses are completely confidential. Your rany way.	ermine how health care professionals are spending
Name:	
Phone:	
Duty Station:	
MOS:	
Length of time at present location:	
Thinking about a typical month at your present assignment, what training to fulfill USAREUR requirements (e.g., PT, going to t	at percentage of time do you spend on readiness the range, going to the NBC chamber, etc.):
Thinking about a typical month at your present assignment, what training centered around preparing for deployments or other tradriving time, packing your duffle bag, etc.):	at percentage of time do you spend on readiness ining events (e.g., getting ready for the training,
During a typical week, how many hours do you spend overall o	on readiness training?:
During a typical week, how many hours do you spend on patier	nt care (e.g. seeing patients, updating records, etc)?:
Has the time you spend on readiness training influenced your a	bility to provide patient care?
Yes or No	
Which of the following best describes your view of readiness tr	raining.
I spend too much time on readiness training I spend just the right amount of time on readiness train I spend too little time on readiness training	ning

Are you planning on making the army a career? YES or NO. Has the difficulty of balancing readiness training with patient care influenced your decision to stay in the army? YES or NO

Ask what is good and bad, and suggestions for improvement in the MEPRS reporting system (this is the system where they fill out their time sheets at the end of the month). Try to write their comments on the back. Thank you for your time. (Put additional comments on back).


Military Readiness and Patient Care in USAREUR Medical Forces: Summary Report on Prospective MEPRS "Benchmarking" Study

By

P. T. Bartone, T. W. Britt, A. B. Adler, & E. H. Golembe

U.S. Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Unit 29218 APO AE 09102 For the USAREUR Chief Surgeon's Office, Unit 29218, APO AE 09102

23 July 1995



Acknowledgment

This draft report is the result of the combined efforts of the following U.S. Army Medical Research Unit-Europe staff:

Paul T. Bartone Thomas W. Britt Amy B. Adler Evelyn H. Golembe David J. Schlafer Matthew C. Gilliard

Kathy L. Deboskie Teresa K. Spohn Carrie A. Ruppar Deanna M. Peace Kevin S. Uyeno Military Readiness and Patient Care in USAREUR Medical Forces:

Summary Report on Prospective MEPRS "Benchmarking" Study *

1. INTRODUCTION

necessary to maintain both becomes intolerably high. Excessive requirements in one area important for leaders to be able to assess how health care providers are actually spending Army medical personnel in Europe must strike an appropriate balance between two sometimes competing responsibilities. On the one hand, they must train and prepare to provide medical care to soldiers under field conditions, including combat. On the other These responsibilities come into conflict when the demands of one activity prevent an hand, they are required to maintain their medical skills, and to assist with the Army's peacetime health care mission by working in Army community hospitals and clinics. burnout, and premature attrition. In order to prevent or reduce such problems, it is individual from properly attending to the other, or when the number of work hours MEDDAC & clinics, low morale, increased health problems for the care provider, or the other can lead to reduced readiness, lowered access to medical care in the their time, and determine whether an optimal balance exists.

Department of the Army or the Department of Defense (PARA 4-3, AR 360-5). The views of the authors do not necessarily reflect the position of the

medical units. In such an environment, the MEPRS system can only yield an incomplete medical personnel utilization. Also, as it is tailored for fixed facilities, MEPRS does not monthly data on personnel utilization in DOD medical fixed facilities. Due to a variety of reporting difficulties, the MEPRS database does not always provide accurate data on capture medical personnel utilization in field, or TO&E medical units. This presents a serious problem for medical leaders in an environment like USAREUR, where many military medical care providers share their time between fixed facilities and TO&E The Medical Expense and Performance Reporting System (MEPRS) tabulates answer to the question of overall medical personnel utilization.

their work time across two critical (and sometimes competing) functional priorities: (1) determine, on average, how uniformed medical personnel in USAREUR are spreading Due to the inadequacies of the MEPRS system to address the critical question of medical personnel utilization, a prospective "benchmark" study was undertaken to patient care, and (2) readiness training.

Military Readiness and Patient Care in **USAREUR Medical Forces**

Prospective "Benchmark" Study: June-July 1995

US Army Medical Research Unit-Europe Heidelberg, Germany

Major Paul T. Bartone, Ph.D.

Commander

7 July, 1995

Revised: 23 July 1995

PURPOSE

- Follow up on "Quick Look" Study, using data from non-MEPRS sources
- care personnel are spending their work time Obtain current data on how Army medical
- Identify % of medical care provider time spent on readiness activities
- Special focus on medical care providers assigned to TO&E units

PROCEDURES


- Short, telephone survey
- Focus on key providers: Doctors, Dentists, Nurses
- Compare BME personnel with Assigned
- Attempt to get data from ALL HD MEDDAC/DENTAC **BMEs** (N=47)
- Random sample of Assigned (Non-BME)
- Reference point is "typical month" & "typical week"

RESULTS

- 41 (of 47) BMEs included in survey (87%)
- 120 (of 165) Assigned (73%)
- Overall response N=161
- Excellent cross-section of medical professionals
- BMEs significantly higher than Assigned on overall readiness time: 30% versus 12%


Percent Time Spent on USAREUR Readiness Training Requirements

(e.g., PT, weapons qualification, NBC)




Percent Time Spent Preparing for Deployments/Exercises

(includes preparing for training, packing gear, driving time)




BME STATUS


on Training & Readiness Activities **Total Percent Time Spent**




p<.01

Average Hours per Month Spent on Overall Readiness Training









BME STATUS

Impacts Ability to Provide Patient Care % Agree: Time Spent on Readiness







% Planning Army Career

BME STATUS

Patient Care: Impact on Career Decision Difficulty of Balancing Readiness vs.



Attitudes toward Readiness Training



21 July 95							
	.: 1 .: ::		BME			Non BME	
):: :	212th and	212th and other (e.g. 30th MED)	30th MED)		Assigned	1
						nonlinear	
		Population	Target	Actual	Population	Torset	
						ומואפר	Actual
Physicians		7	11	6	3	06	
				>	6	00	47
Dentists/Vets		0	6.	σ	Š	CC	
				>	5	30	39
Nurses		27	27	23	OF		
				2	7	V4	39
Totals		47	47	41	165	400	
				-	COL	201	120

TABLE 1: Sample(s) and sampling strategy

BME = Borrowed Military, External

Non-BME = Assigned to the HD MEDDAC/DENTAC

Population: Total number of military personnel of a given category (e.g., BME Physicians)

Target: Number of a given category targetted for telephone survey

Actual: Number of respondents in a given category who answered telephone survey

Medical Readiness Telephone Survey

Hello, may I speak to Phone Number: <u>371-2998/2626</u>
Hello (sir or ma'am), I am from the US Army Medical Research Unit and we have been asked by the Office of the Chief Surgeon to conduct a brief, 2-minute phone survey to determine how health care professionals are spending their time. Your responses are completely confidential. Your name will not be associated with your responses in any way.
Name:
Phone:
Duty Station:
MOS:
Length of time at present location:
Thinking about a typical month at your present assignment, what percentage of time do you spend on readiness training to fulfill USAREUR requirements (e.g., PT, going to the range, going to the NBC chamber, etc.):
Thinking about a typical month at your present assignment, what percentage of time do you spend on readiness training centered around preparing for deployments or other training events (e.g., getting ready for the training, driving time, packing your duffle bag, etc.):
During a typical week, how many hours do you spend overall on readiness training?:
During a typical week, how many hours do you spend on patient care (e.g. seeing patients, updating records, etc)?:
Has the time you spend on readiness training influenced your ability to provide patient care?
Yes or No
Which of the following best describes your view of readiness training.
I spend too much time on readiness training I spend just the right amount of time on readiness training I spend too little time on readiness training

Ask what is good and bad, and suggestions for improvement in the MEPRS reporting system (this is the system where they fill out their time sheets at the end of the month). Try to write their comments on the back. Thank you for your time. (Put additional comments on back).

patient care influenced your decision to stay in the army? YES or NO

Are you planning on making the army a career? YES or NO. Has the difficulty of balancing readiness training with